

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED IN	/ENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/648,306	08/25/00	косн		С	UPN-3904
•			\neg		EXAMINER
WOODCOCK WAS	SHBURN KURT:	HM12/0213 Z MACKIEWICZ & NO		WRIGHT	e-
ONE LIBERTY PLACE 46TH FLOOR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELPHIA	A PA 19103			1626 Date Mailed:	7
					02/13/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/648,306 Applicant(3)

Koch et al.

Examiner

Sonya Wright

Group Art Unit 1626



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matter in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay/1935 C.D. 11; 453 €	O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expirelonger, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond with application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time m 37 CFR 1.136(a).	In the below for response will cause the
Disposition of Claim	infare pending in the applicat
X Claim(s) <u>20-32</u>	is/are pending in the applicat
Of the above, claim(s) 23-26	is/are withdrawn from consideration
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
X Claim(s) <u>20-22 and 27-32</u>	is/are rejected.
☐ Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
☐ Claims	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, P The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by The proposed drawing correction, filed on is The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	y the Examiner.
 ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U. ☐ All ☐Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) ☐ received in this national stage application from the Internation *Certified copies not received: 	documents have been
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s) ☒ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☒ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLL	LOWING PAGES

Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 09648306 Page 2

Art Unit: 1626

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 20-22 and 27-32 are pending in this application.

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 20-22 and 27-32, drawn to methods of use, classified in class 548 subclass 327.5.
 - II. Claims 23-26 drawn to a kit, classified in class 206 subclass 569.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The inventions are independent and distinct because there is no patentable co-action between the groups and a reference anticipating one member will not render another obvious. Each group is directed to art recognized divergent subject matter which requires different searching strategies for each compound. Moreover, the examiner must perform a commercial database search on the subject matter of each group in addition to a paper search, which is quite burdensome to the examiner.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Mrs. Lynn Maloneski on December 4, 2000 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 20-22

Application/Control Number: 09648306 Page 3

Art Unit: 1626

and 27-32. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 23-26 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ormum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 09648306

Art Unit: 1626

Claims 20-22 and 27-32 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 14-19 of U.S. Patent No. 5,540,908 (Koch et al.). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other for the following reasons. Koch et al. teach instant claim 20 in claim 14, however, the difference between Koch et al., claim 14, and instant claim 20, is that in Koch et al., claim 14, R₂ is an alkyl group with the formula CHXCX₂CY₃ (wherein X is halogen or hydrogen) and in instant claim 20, R₂ has the formula CH₂CX₂CHX₂ (wherein X is halogen or hydrogen). Formula R₂ in instant claim 20 is obvious over formula R₂ of Koch et al. (claim 14) because of the structural similarities between the formulas.

In claim 15, Koch et al. teach instant claim 21, and the difference between the two claims is that in claim 15 Koch et al. mention PET as well as magnetic resonance imaging, while instant claim 21 only mentions PET.

Claim 18 in Koch et al. teach instant claim 22, and claim 14 in Koch et al. teach instant claims 27-32.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sonya Wright whose telephone number is (703) 308-4539. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph K. McKane, can be reached on (703) 308-4537. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Art Unit: 1626

When filing a FAX in Technology Center 1600, please indicate in the Header (upper right) "Official" for papers that are to be entered into the file, and "Unofficial" for draft documents and other communications with the PTO that are not for entry into the file of the application. This will expedite processing of your papers.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Joseph K. McKane

Supervisory Patent Examiner

Joseph KM Kan

Group 1600

Sonya Wright

February 8, 2001